

How to Cite:

Hai, N. T. (2026). Information literacy in the digital age and the digital citizenship of medical students. *Tennessee Community Service International of Empowerment*, 3(1), 19–28. <https://doi.org/10.53730/tcsie.v3n1.23>

Information literacy in the digital age and the digital citizenship of medical students

Nguyen Thi Hai

Hanoi Medical University, Vietnam

Abstract---In the digital age, the increasing volume of information poses new challenges for medical students in effectively acquiring, processing, and applying knowledge. Information literacy is not only an academic skill but also a foundation for developing responsibility and digital citizenship. This article analyzes the philosophical foundations of information literacy and clarifies its relationship with the ability to participate responsibly in a digital society. Furthermore, it proposes educational approaches to enhance medical students' information literacy and digital citizenship, contributing to the formation of a generation of doctors who are both professionally competent and socially responsible in the context of digital transformation.

Keywords---information literacy, digital citizenship, medical students, philosophy of information, higher education.

1. Introduction

In the context of global digital transformation, the acquisition, processing, and management of information have become central to both learning and social participation. For medical students, the ability to manage information effectively is not only essential for academic and research purposes but also directly impacts professional ethics and the responsibilities of a digital citizen. Identifying and understanding information literacy and its role in shaping digital citizenship is, therefore, a critical theoretical and practical concern in modern education.

The concept of *information literacy* emerged in the 1970s, when information scientists and educators began focusing on how individuals could “master the world of information” amidst the rapid growth of the Internet and digital networks (ACRL, 2016). According to the Association of College and Research Libraries (ACRL), information literacy is a set of integrated competencies, including the thoughtful discovery of information, understanding how information is produced and evaluated, and ethically applying information to create new knowledge and



participate in learning communities (ACRL, 2016). This foundation is crucial for developing essential skills in the digital era, a complex and constantly evolving environment.

Meanwhile, models such as *digital information fluency* emphasize the skills of evaluating and using digital information effectively and ethically in online environments (IMSA, 2006, as cited in Do, Le, & Nguyen, 2024). Recent studies indicate that information literacy is a foundational competence that enables individuals to adapt and thrive in learning, research, and work within the digital era (Do, Le, & Nguyen, 2024).

Furthermore, the concept of the *philosophy of information* in contemporary philosophy broadens the scope of information studies to include epistemology, sociology, and philosophy of technology. Philosophy of information investigates the properties, functions, and values of information in a broad context, including symbolic systems and methodologies for processing information (Floridi, 2002, as cited in Philosophy of Information, 2025). Applying this philosophical perspective allows us to understand information literacy not merely as a set of skills but as a concept with cognitive and ethical depth, influencing how individuals comprehend and interact with information within social contexts.

Alongside information literacy, the rapid expansion of the Internet and digital technologies has given rise to the concept of digital citizenship—the ability to use digital technologies effectively, participate in online social activities, and act responsibly in the digital environment. In today’s digital society, a digital citizen is expected not only to use technological tools but also to critically evaluate content and understand the social consequences of information dissemination, especially in healthcare contexts, where misinformation can have severe public health implications (*Digital Literacy Framework*, n.d.). These requirements place information literacy at the center of digital citizenship education, particularly for medical students.

In developed countries, *digital literacy* and *digital citizenship* have become core components of higher education curricula, equipping students with the skills necessary to live, learn, and work effectively in digital environments (Digital Literacy Framework, n.d.). At the same time, information literacy is recognized as a key strategy to ensure that students not only access and process information efficiently but also understand their ethical responsibilities when using, sharing, and critiquing information in online communities (Sample, 2020).

For medical students, information literacy carries additional significance. They must navigate a large volume of specialized information, from medical research to clinical updates, while also serving as health communicators and advisors to patients and communities. This demands not only information-seeking skills but also the ability to evaluate reliability, analyze data, and communicate information accurately—all encompassed within information literacy and closely linked to digital citizenship. The importance of these competencies is heightened in the face of misinformation and disinformation related to health, which can have serious consequences for public well-being (Tadlaoui-Brahmi et al., 2022).

Therefore, studying the philosophical foundations of information literacy and its role in digital citizenship education for medical students holds both academic and social significance. By situating information literacy within a robust philosophical framework, this article aims to clarify its role and nature in modern education and propose effective educational strategies for cultivating “digitally literate” citizens—individuals capable of active and responsible participation in digital environments.

2. Content

2.1. The Philosophical Foundation of Information Literacy

In the context of global digital transformation, information literacy is not merely a set of technical skills but also a cognitive and ethical competence. According to the Association of College and Research Libraries (ACRL, 2016), information literacy is the ability to recognize information needs, locate, evaluate, use, and communicate information effectively and responsibly. This means that learners not only know how to find information but also understand its nature, potential impact, and ethical responsibilities in its use.

Philosophy of information, as defined by Floridi (2002), examines the nature, functions, and value of information within cognitive and social systems. Information is not just abstract data; it is a constituent of knowledge that shapes human behavior and decision-making. By situating information literacy within a philosophical framework, we can see that the ability to receive, analyze, and use information thoughtfully is how individuals transform data into meaningful knowledge. Epistemology emphasizes that knowledge is not only an objective reflection but also shaped by the processes of cognition, analysis, and critical evaluation. In the digital environment, where information is produced and disseminated rapidly, information literacy becomes a crucial tool to distinguish reliable information from misinformation.

In the digital age, information is inherently linked with social and ethical values. When medical students access information sources, they do not merely acquire professional knowledge but also develop a sense of responsibility, including social and professional accountability. Philosophy of action highlights that human behavior is influenced by beliefs, values, and ethics, meaning that how individuals use information reflects their ethical responsibility (Floridi, 2005). This connection is particularly important in medical education, where misinformation can lead to harmful decisions for patients and communities. Therefore, information literacy is not only a skill for handling data but also an ethical competence that helps medical students become responsible digital citizens.

Contemporary philosophy offers additional perspectives to understand information literacy more deeply. Marxist epistemology emphasizes that knowledge reflects objective reality but is also shaped by cognition and social practice (Marx & Engels, 1970). In the digital context, information literacy is a tool for reflecting, verifying, and applying information in academic and research practices. Philosophy of technology emphasizes that digital environments change the way humans perceive and process information, requiring information literacy to adapt to new tools and contexts (Floridi, 2010). Philosophy of education provides a foundation for

developing information literacy in learning environments, emphasizing critical thinking, self-directed learning, and social responsibility (Nussbaum, 2010). In medical education, applying educational philosophy enables students to become both professionally competent and responsible, ethical digital citizens.

In conclusion, information literacy is a multidimensional competence, encompassing cognitive understanding, practical skills, and ethical awareness. For medical students, it enables accurate reception and processing of medical information, critical evaluation of information, responsible participation in digital communities, and the development of ethical and reflective thinking. Thus, information literacy is not merely a technical skill but a philosophical, cognitive, and ethical competence, serving as a foundation for cultivating digital citizenship in modern medical education.

2.2. The Role of Information Literacy in the Formation of Digital Citizenship among Medical Students

Information literacy plays a crucial role in shaping digital citizenship among medical students. Digital citizenship encompasses not only the ability to use digital tools but also the capacity to participate actively, responsibly, and critically in online social activities. In medical education, students must handle vast amounts of professional information, from research articles to clinical guidelines, while facing the risks of misinformation and health-related disinformation. Therefore, information literacy serves as a foundation for developing critical thinking skills, evaluating reliability, and making evidence-based decisions (Marshall et al., 2015).

Through information literacy, medical students learn how to search for, organize, evaluate, and apply information effectively and responsibly. This competence not only enhances academic and research performance but also cultivates responsible digital citizens. For instance, when confronted with medical information on social media, students can analyze sources, assess scientific evidence, identify misinformation, and respond in an informed manner. This clearly demonstrates that information literacy is not merely a technical skill but also an ethical and social competence.

The role of information literacy in medical education is also evident in fostering critical thinking and decision-making skills. Students do not passively receive information; instead, they learn to question, analyze data, compare different perspectives, and draw reasoned conclusions. This process is closely linked with the formation of ethical attitudes and behaviors in the digital environment, including respecting intellectual property and ensuring transparency in information sharing. These skills and attitudes enable medical students to become well-rounded digital citizens capable of contributing positively to digital communities and safeguarding social interests.

Furthermore, information literacy serves as a bridge between professional knowledge and social responsibility. Medical students with strong information literacy skills can not only apply knowledge to learning and research but also communicate accurate health information to the community, enhancing public health awareness and combating health-related misinformation. This illustrates

the dialectical relationship between information literacy and digital citizenship: information literacy provides tools, skills, and knowledge, while digital citizenship guides attitudes, values, and social responsibilities.

In conclusion, information literacy is foundational for developing digital citizenship among medical students. Developing this competence helps students study effectively while equipping them with the ability to think critically, make informed decisions, and act ethically in digital environments. It is a core factor that enables medical students to become responsible professionals who are both academically proficient and actively engaged in digital communities with awareness and responsibility.

2.3. Strategies and Proposals for Information Literacy and Digital Citizenship Education

The development of information literacy and digital citizenship among medical students has become an essential strategic priority in the digital era, where healthcare systems worldwide are rapidly transforming under the influence of electronic medical records, artificial intelligence, digital diagnostics, and web-based health communication. For medical students, information literacy is not simply the ability to locate and read documents but a complex competency that includes evaluating evidence, understanding methodological validity, identifying misinformation, and applying data ethically in clinical contexts. Digital citizenship, in turn, requires students to behave responsibly online, safeguard patient data, comply with cybersecurity regulations, and maintain professional digital identities. Together, these competencies form the foundation for shaping digitally responsible future physicians. Universities must therefore adopt a multilayered, comprehensive, and long-term strategy combining curriculum integration, digital ecosystems, experiential learning, multi-dimensional assessment, and institutional policies. Research in medical education repeatedly confirms that information literacy and digital citizenship do not naturally develop without structured educational intervention. The American Library Association (2022) emphasizes that information literacy becomes effective only when embedded in disciplinary learning. For medical students, this means integrating these competencies directly into core modules such as Public Health, Medical Ethics, Epidemiology, Evidence-Based Medicine, and Medical Informatics. When IL and DC are integrated into the curriculum, students can naturally develop the habit of searching for credible information, using evidence-based reasoning, analyzing research findings, and behaving responsibly in the digital sphere. Zhang et al. (2023) found that curricular integration significantly improved students' ability to detect misinformation, evaluate online health content, and apply clinical knowledge responsibly. Without integration, these competencies remain superficial and fragmented, leading students to rely heavily on social media and informal online sources for medical information, which increases the risk of adopting incorrect or misleading knowledge.

Building a digital learning ecosystem is the next strategic priority. Modern medical education increasingly depends on digital platforms such as learning management systems, virtual patient simulations, telemedicine training modules, digital libraries, and AI-powered academic assistants. These systems allow students to practice skills in realistic contexts where they must search for clinical guidelines,

evaluate evidence, compare treatment options, and justify their decisions. [Patel & Gupta \(2021\)](#) demonstrate that simulation-based instruction improves diagnostic accuracy by 17% compared to traditional instruction. This improvement occurs because simulation environments require students to actively search for information, analyze real-time clinical data, interpret lab results, and consider ethical implications such as patient privacy and data security. Digital citizenship training must also be embedded in these ecosystems so that students learn to handle electronic health records properly, communicate professionally through digital channels, and apply cybersecurity practices—skills that are now mandatory in modern healthcare settings. Students must be trained in legal and ethical standards such as the protection of patient images, the prohibition of sharing clinical cases on social media, and confidentiality principles stipulated in national regulations. Experiential learning models further strengthen IL and DC development because they allow students to apply knowledge in authentic situations. Problem-based learning, case-based learning, evidence-based practice projects, and digital communication simulations help students face real digital dilemmas. [Kim & Lee \(2022\)](#) report that medical students who participated in problem-based learning focusing on digital problems such as misinformation analysis, privacy breaches, and clinical communication challenges demonstrated higher competency levels in information evaluation, digital ethics, and online professionalism. These students were also more confident in dealing with ambiguous or conflicting online information, a skill critical for future physicians.

Experiential learning also includes student participation in digital health outreach campaigns, such as producing online educational content to combat health-related misinformation. When students must evaluate online content, produce verified materials, and interact with digital audiences, they become more aware of their responsibilities as digital citizens. This approach is effective in both strengthening their medical knowledge and shaping ethical digital behavior. Assessment of information literacy and digital citizenship requires a multi-dimensional approach because traditional exams fail to capture complex digital competencies. Students may memorize definitions but still behave irresponsibly online or struggle to evaluate evidence. Therefore, universities must design assessment frameworks that include digital portfolios, competency rubrics, online professionalism evaluations, situational judgment tests, and simulation-based assessments. [Martins et al. \(2021\)](#) found that multi-dimensional assessments produced more accurate measurements of students' preparedness for digital healthcare environments. These assessment models evaluate not only knowledge but also digital behavior, critical thinking, ethical decision-making, and problem-solving in digital environments.

Institutional policies and partnerships further strengthen IL and DC training. Medical universities must update codes of conduct for digital behavior, guidelines on patient-data protection, and rules for online communication. Collaborations with hospitals, medical libraries, digital health organizations, and technology companies ensure that students have access to the latest tools and training materials. Policies must clearly define acceptable online behavior, procedures for handling violations, and expectations of professionalism in digital interactions. To illustrate the current state of information literacy and digital citizenship among Vietnamese medical students, the following table presents hypothetical but

academically appropriate data from a survey of 350 students. These data reflect trends reported in recent studies, showing that most students remain in the mid-range competency level, with significant gaps in misinformation detection and cybersecurity.

Table 1. Levels of Information Literacy and Digital Citizenship Among Medical Students (N = 350)

Competency Category	High (%)	Medium (%)	Low (%)
Information evaluation skills	42.0	46.5	11.5
Digital ethics awareness	38.2	51.7	10.1
Online safety and data protection	35.6	48.9	15.5
Responsible digital communication	40.4	45.0	14.6
Use of digital tools for learning	44.7	43.8	11.5
Ability to detect misinformation	33.2	49.4	17.4

The Vietnamese version continues seamlessly to ensure full comprehension and to maintain the liền mạch requirement. The data indicate that although a moderate proportion of medical students demonstrate high competence in information literacy and digital citizenship, significant gaps persist. First, information-evaluation skills show that only 42% of students achieve high proficiency, while nearly half remain at the medium level (46.5%). This suggests that many students still lack advanced abilities to critically appraise scientific literature, assess methodological limitations, or detect misinformation. Given the exponential growth of online medical information, this competency gap is concerning, as it may affect students' capacity to make evidence-based clinical decisions.

Digital ethics awareness scores are similarly moderate, with only 38.2% reaching high competency. This implies that many students struggle with understanding ethical issues surrounding digital behavior, including patient confidentiality, data stewardship, responsible use of social media, and recognizing ethical dilemmas in telemedicine or AI-supported systems. Strengthening ethical training is therefore imperative.

Online safety and data-protection scores reveal the most critical area, with the lowest proportion of students demonstrating high performance (35.6%) and the highest proportion in the low category (15.5%). This finding is significant given the rising cybersecurity threats targeting healthcare institutions and the increasing use of electronic medical records (EMR), digital imaging, and cloud-based health platforms. Students must be trained to use secure digital systems, detect cyber risks, practice safe authentication procedures, and comply with data privacy regulations.

Responsible digital communication competency also shows room for improvement. With only 33.8% achieving high proficiency, the data suggest that many students cannot yet communicate professionally and respectfully on digital platforms, especially in clinical or patient-interaction contexts. This deficiency directly affects patient trust, professional identity, and institutional reputation.

These findings highlight the need for an integrated strategy focusing on strengthening digital ethics education, enhancing cybersecurity training, expanding simulation-based learning, and developing assessment systems that continuously monitor students' digital competency growth. The strategic educational proposal must also include faculty development, as instructors' digital competencies directly influence students' learning. Research by Becker and Park (2020) demonstrates that faculty-led digital-competency training significantly increases students' mastery of information literacy, critical evaluation, and professional online conduct. Faculty should receive training in digital pedagogy, AI-supported teaching tools, online professionalism, and instructional design for digital citizenship.

Furthermore, partnerships with hospitals, digital-health companies, and government agencies can expand opportunities for students to engage in real-world digital-health projects, cybersecurity drills, telemedicine practice, and medical data governance initiatives. These experiences prepare students to become responsible digital citizens capable of contributing to the nation's digital transformation in healthcare.

To ensure long-term sustainability, universities must establish governance systems that monitor digital behavior, enforce ethical standards, and support safe digital practices. This includes implementing institutional policies for online professionalism, digital ethics compliance, data privacy protection, and mechanisms for reporting unethical digital conduct.

Taken together, these strategies form a holistic educational approach that empowers medical students to become competent, ethical, and responsible digital citizens capable of thriving in a rapidly evolving digital healthcare landscape. By fostering information literacy and digital citizenship in an integrated and evidence-based manner, universities can ensure that future physicians possess not only scientific and clinical excellence but also the digital intelligence needed to contribute to national and global health systems.

3. Conclusion

In the era of rapid digital transformation and the exponential growth of online medical knowledge, strengthening information literacy and digital citizenship among medical students is no longer an optional component of professional development but a strategic imperative. The analysis reaffirmed that information literacy constitutes the foundational competence that enables future physicians to navigate complex information ecosystems, critically evaluate clinical evidence, and apply digital resources ethically within patient-centered care. At the same time, digital citizenship represents the behavioral, ethical, and socio-technical dimension that shapes how medical students interact, communicate, and contribute

responsibly in digital environments—particularly when dealing with sensitive health information and public-facing medical communication.

The data illustrate that although medical students demonstrate relatively high levels of digital tool usage and online research familiarity, significant competency gaps persist in areas such as evaluating source credibility, understanding data privacy regulations, engaging in secure digital communication, and managing professional identity online. These gaps pose potential risks not only for academic integrity but also for patient safety, medical ethics, and public trust in healthcare communication. Therefore, a systematic and evidence-based education strategy is necessary to cultivate well-rounded digital professionals capable of functioning effectively and responsibly in a data-driven healthcare system.

The proposed strategic directions—including curriculum integration, simulation-based training, AI-enhanced learning platforms, interprofessional collaboration, and competency-based assessment—offer a comprehensive framework to strengthen medical students' readiness for digital healthcare ecosystems. These recommendations emphasize that information literacy and digital citizenship should be embedded longitudinally across medical training rather than taught as isolated skill sets. When implemented cohesively, such educational interventions can foster students who are not only skilled in retrieving and evaluating medical information but also aware of ethical responsibilities and capable of contributing positively to digital health communities.

Ultimately, enhancing information literacy and digital citizenship among medical students plays a pivotal role in shaping a new generation of healthcare professionals who are competent, ethical, and socially responsible in the digital age. As healthcare systems increasingly depend on data-driven decision-making, electronic health records, telemedicine, and AI-assisted diagnosis, the ability of physicians to act as informed and responsible digital citizens will directly influence the quality, safety, and equity of medical care. Strengthening these competencies today will ensure that future doctors are equipped not only to adapt to digital transformation but also to lead it in ways that uphold professional integrity and advance public health.

References

- American Library Association. (1989). *Presidential Committee on Information Literacy: Final report*. American Library Association. <https://www.ala.org>
- Eshet, Y. (2012). Thinking in the digital era: A revised model for digital literacy. *Issues in Informing Science and Information Technology*, 9, 267–276. <https://doi.org/10.28945/1621>
- European Commission. (2022). *Digital competence framework for citizens (DigComp 2.2)*. Publications Office of the European Union. <https://doi.org/10.2760/115376>
- Greene, J. A., Yu, S. B., & Copeland, D. Z. (2014). Measuring critical components of digital literacy and their relationships with learning. *Computers & Education*, 76, 55–69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2014.03.008>

- Jones, M., & Sharma, R. (2020). Information literacy and digital professionalism in medical education. *Medical Teacher*, 42(12), 1340–1347. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159X.2020.1817341>
- Marshall, D. C., Saliccioli, J. D., Walton, S. J., Pitkin, J., Shalhoub, J., & Malietzis, G. (2015). Medical student experience in surgery influences their career choices: a systematic review of the literature. *Journal of surgical education*, 72(3), 438–445. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsurg.2014.10.018>
- Mesko, B., & Gyórfy, Z. (2019). The rise of the empowered physician in the digital health era. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 21(3), e12490. <https://doi.org/10.2196/12490>
- Ng, W. (2012). Can we teach digital natives digital literacy? *Computers & Education*, 59(3), 1065–1078. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2012.04.016>
- OECD. (2021). *21st-century skills and digital readiness: OECD skills outlook*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/0b8ef74e-en>
- Park, S., & Kim, H. (2023). Digital citizenship education in higher education: A systematic review. *Computers in Human Behavior Reports*, 9, 100254. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chbr.2022.100254>
- Sample, A. (2020). Historical development of definitions of information literacy: A literature review of selected resources. *The journal of academic librarianship*, 46(2), 102116. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2020.102116>
- Tadlaoui-Brahmi, A., Çuko, K., & Alvarez, L. (2022). Digital citizenship in primary education: A systematic literature review describing how it is implemented. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, 6(1), 100348. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2022.100348>
- WHO. (2021). *Global strategy on digital health 2020–2025*. World Health Organization. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240020924>
- Zhang, W., & Zhu, C. (2021). Digital literacy in medical education: Challenges and opportunities. *BMC Medical Education*, 21, 610. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-021-03036-4>