How to Cite:
Thang, P. D., & Nhat, N. T. (2025). From theory to classroom: Innovation in teaching methods and faculty development in higher education. *Tennessee Community Service International of Empowerment*, *2*(1), 33–43. https://doi.org/10.53730/tcsie.v2n1.13

From theory to classroom: Innovation in teaching methods and faculty development in higher education

Pham Dinh Thang
HaNoi University of Pharmacy, Hanoi city, Vietnam
No. 13-15, Le Thanh Tong Street, Hoan Kiem District, Hanoi City, Vietnam
Corresponding Email: pdtdhd@gmail.com

Nguyen Thi Nhat
Thai Binh Mental Health Hospital, Vietnam

***Abstract*---**Higher education is at a pivotal moment, necessitating innovative teaching methods and robust faculty development to meet evolving global demands. This paper examines the integration of educational theories, such as Rational Choice Theory (RCT) and Social Exchange Theory (SET), into pedagogical practices to foster meaningful classroom change. By analyzing contemporary innovations like student-centered learning, flipped classrooms, and problem-based learning, the study highlights their potential to enhance student engagement and critical thinking. However, challenges such as institutional resistance, cultural barriers, and inadequate infrastructure, particularly in contexts like Vietnam, hinder widespread adoption. The paper underscores the critical role of theoretically grounded faculty development in bridging the gap between theory and practice, advocating for sustained, context-sensitive reforms to transform higher education. Findings suggest that aligning institutional incentives with educators' motivations and fostering collaborative academic cultures can drive sustainable pedagogical innovation.

***Keywords*---**higher education, teaching innovation, faculty development, Rational Choice Theory, Social Exchange Theory, active learning, Vietnam.

ISSN 2998-3193 (Online)
Publisher: Smoky Mountain Publishing
Manuscript submitted: 5 March 2025, Manuscript revised: 12 April 2025, Accepted for publication: 11 May 2025

1. Introduction

Higher education is undergoing profound transformation, driven by technological advancement, globalization, and shifting student expectations. As Brown and Carasso (2020) argue, universities face increasing pressure to adapt to both internal demands for pedagogical innovation and external calls for accountability and relevance. In response to these pressures, a key concern has emerged: how can university teaching evolve meaningfully while maintaining academic rigor and promoting student engagement?

One of the central challenges lies in bridging the gap between educational theory and actual classroom practices. Theoretical frameworks such as rational choice theory and social exchange theory offer insightful lenses to understand the motivations and behaviors of both students and faculty. Rational choice theory, for instance, suggests that educational decisions are shaped by calculations of cost, benefit, and risk (Lovett, 2006; Nguyen & Pham, 2020). In the context of Vietnamese higher education, Nguyen & Pham (2020) applied this theory to explain how teachers adopt innovative methods when they perceive institutional incentives and personal gains.

At the same time, social exchange theory emphasizes the relational dynamics in educational environments, where power, equity, and commitment play crucial roles (Blau, 1964; Cook & Emerson, 1978). These perspectives are valuable for understanding faculty engagement with institutional reforms and student-centered teaching.

Despite the abundance of theoretical insights, the practical integration of these frameworks into pedagogical development remains limited. Bonwell & Eison (1991) highlighted the importance of active learning in promoting student involvement, yet traditional lecture-based methods persist across many institutions. Similarly, Aboluwodi (2015) emphasized the need to cultivate critical thinking in higher education, but such goals often remain aspirational without structural support for faculty development.

This paper explores how educational theories can inform innovative teaching practices in higher education, with a particular focus on faculty development as the engine of sustainable change. By drawing on sociological and educational perspectives, the paper argues for a more deliberate and theory-informed approach to reforming university pedagogy. The discussion begins with a review of relevant theoretical foundations, followed by an analysis of contemporary innovations in teaching, the role of faculty development, and the challenges of translating theory into meaningful classroom change (O'Flaherty & Phillips, 2015).

2. Theoretical Foundations

2.1 Rational Choice Theory in Educational Settings

Rational Choice Theory (RCT) posits that individuals make decisions by systematically evaluating the available alternatives and choosing the option that maximizes their utility while minimizing costs (Lovett, 2006). This theory, rooted

in economic reasoning, has been increasingly applied to education to explain how students and teachers behave in institutional environments that present both opportunities and constraints.

In higher education, RCT provides a framework for understanding why faculty members may or may not adopt innovative teaching practices. According to Nguyen & Pham (2020), faculty decisions in Vietnam to engage in pedagogical innovation are closely tied to rational calculations balancing potential professional recognition, institutional rewards, or improved student outcomes against the perceived risks such as failure, time investment, or lack of administrative support. Their research illustrates how the adoption of new teaching methods, like flipped classrooms or project-based learning, can be viewed not just as pedagogical choices but as strategic decisions influenced by external and internal incentives.

However, RCT has faced substantial critique. Vanberg (2004) argues that the assumption of full rationality is often unrealistic in dynamic and ambiguous settings like education, where decisions are made under incomplete information or institutional pressure. Similarly, Herfeld (2012) points out that while RCT provides a powerful explanatory tool, it often oversimplifies human behavior by ignoring emotions, norms, and social relationships that influence decision-making processes.

Despite these criticisms, RCT remains useful in identifying structural incentives or disincentives that shape faculty behavior. It also highlights the need for educational institutions to align reform initiatives with the real motivations and constraints of educators. Andersson (2016) further supports this point by demonstrating how academic decision-making often involves risk assessment and the weighing of long-term benefits against short-term losses. When faculty perceive that innovation aligns with their professional goals and will be supported institutionally, they are more likely to change their teaching practices.

2.2 Social Exchange Theory and Power Dynamics

While RCT focuses on the individual as a utility-maximizing agent, Social Exchange Theory (SET) brings attention to the social and relational dynamics of behavior. Originating in the work of Peter Blau (1964), SET posits that social interactions are transactions in which people exchange resources tangible or intangible based on the principles of reciprocity, trust, and perceived fairness. In educational settings, these exchanges are embedded in the complex web of faculty-student relationships, institutional hierarchies, and collegial networks.

Blau's foundational insights into power and exchange are highly applicable to the university context. For instance, a faculty member's willingness to adopt an innovative teaching method may be influenced not only by personal cost-benefit calculations (as RCT suggests) but also by the expectation of institutional reciprocation, recognition by peers, or the development of a reputation as a reform-minded educator (Knottnerus & Guan, 1997). Cook & Emerson (1978) extended this framework by introducing the concepts of equity and commitment, arguing that perceived fairness in institutional exchanges (e.g., training,

resources, workload distribution) significantly affects individuals' long-term engagement and openness to change.

SET also sheds light on power dynamics within higher education institutions. Faculty may resist innovation not solely because of risk aversion but because of a perceived lack of autonomy, unclear reward structures, or coercive top-down mandates. As Wallace & Wolf (1999) argue, social structures and power imbalances condition how choices are made and how reforms are received. Understanding innovation, therefore, requires examining how institutions distribute power, how they recognize contributions, and whether they foster environments of mutual trust and reciprocal exchange.

In this way, SET complements RCT by incorporating the social embeddedness of decisions, particularly in collaborative educational environments. A reform initiative may be theoretically sound and rationally beneficial, but if it lacks buy-in from peers or if institutional commitments are perceived as insincere, the likelihood of success diminishes significantly.

2.3 Active Learning and Critical Thinking

The theories discussed above inform not only our understanding of faculty behavior but also the pedagogical strategies that can be used to enhance student learning. Among these strategies, active learning and the promotion of critical thinking are central to modern approaches in higher education reform. These concepts move beyond traditional, lecture-centered paradigms and emphasize student engagement, inquiry, and cognitive participation (Yan et al., 2016).

According to Bonwell & Eison (1991), active learning is defined as instructional methods that engage students in the learning process directly, often through discussions, problem-solving, case studies, role plays, and other hands-on activities. This approach is grounded in the idea that students learn more effectively when they are actively constructing knowledge rather than passively receiving it. The shift toward active learning also aligns with broader movements in education toward learner autonomy and democratic classroom practices.

Critical thinking, as argued by Aboluwodi (2015), is a crucial outcome of higher education, especially in regions where rote learning has historically dominated. It involves the ability to analyze, evaluate, and synthesize information, challenge assumptions, and develop independent reasoning. However, teaching critical thinking requires more than curriculum changes; it demands a fundamental transformation in the way instructors view their roles from knowledge transmitters to facilitators of learning.

The successful implementation of these pedagogical strategies depends heavily on faculty preparedness and support. Kennedy (2016) emphasizes the importance of professional development in improving teaching quality, noting that teacher learning is most effective when it is embedded in practice, sustained over time, and connected to theoretical understanding. Without appropriate training, support systems, and institutional incentives, calls for active learning and critical thinking may remain rhetorical rather than transformative.

Moreover, both active learning and critical thinking reflect a convergence of RCT and SET principles. From the rational perspective, students and faculty must see the value in engaging more deeply with content and methods. From the social exchange perspective, classroom interactions become dynamic sites of mutual influence, where trust, respect, and shared responsibility are critical.

3. Innovations in Teaching Practices in Higher Education

3.1 Historical and Current Trends in Teaching Method Reform

Historically, higher education teaching has been characterized by lecture-based delivery and a focus on passive knowledge transmission. This "banking model" of education where instructors deposit knowledge into students who are expected to memorize and reproduce it has been increasingly critiqued for its inability to foster critical thinking, creativity, or student engagement (Bonwell & Eison, 1991; Aboluwodi, 2015). In response, pedagogical reform movements since the late 20th century have called for active learning environments, more inclusive teaching, and diversified assessment practices.

Recent trends in higher education reflect a broader shift from teacher-centered to learner-centered approaches. These changes have been driven by developments in learning sciences, the democratization of knowledge through digital platforms, and the demand for transferable skills beyond disciplinary content. New models of instruction increasingly prioritize student agency, collaboration, and problem-solving, reflecting a fundamental reorientation of the educational experience from one of passive reception to active participation (Kennedy, 2016).

Moreover, sociological and decision-making theories such as Rational Choice and Social Exchange provide deeper insight into why and how these reforms are adopted. Faculty members are more inclined to shift teaching methods when they perceive institutional support, long-term value, or alignment with their own goals and identity (Nguyen & Pham, 2020; Blau, 1964).

3.2 Emphasis on Student-Centered Learning, Flipped Classrooms, and Problem-Based Learning

Among the most widely adopted pedagogical innovations are student-centered learning, flipped classrooms, and problem-based learning (PBL). These methods reframe the role of both teacher and student, placing the learner at the center of the educational process (Dasgupta, 2011).

Student-centered learning emphasizes active engagement, autonomy, and personal relevance. Instead of delivering content in a one-way format, educators guide students to construct their own understanding through interaction, inquiry, and collaboration. This model is closely aligned with active learning principles as discussed by Bonwell & Eison (1991), and it supports the development of higher-order thinking skills emphasized by Aboluwodi (2015).

Flipped classrooms further extend this approach by reversing the traditional sequence of instruction. In this model, students engage with lecture content independently usually through videos or readings before class, and then use in-

class time for discussion, application, and problem-solving. This structure allows for deeper engagement during face-to-face interactions and has been shown to promote both content mastery and critical thinking, particularly when supported by thoughtful course design and institutional backing.

Problem-based learning (PBL) is another innovation that has gained popularity in disciplines such as medicine, engineering, and business. PBL tasks students with solving real-world problems in collaborative settings, encouraging not only knowledge integration but also the development of teamwork, communication, and lifelong learning skills. These approaches resonate with social exchange theory, where learning is viewed as an interactional and reciprocal process among students and between students and instructors (Blau, 1964; Cook & Emerson, 1978).

While these methods hold great promise, their implementation requires a profound shift in faculty mindset, course structure, and institutional policies transformations that are not always easy to achieve.

3.3 Challenges in Adapting Innovative Methods to Specific National or Institutional Contexts (e.g., Vietnam)

The diffusion of innovative teaching practices is uneven across regions and institutions due to differences in culture, infrastructure, policy, and faculty readiness. In Vietnam, for example, traditional educational values emphasizing authority, hierarchy, and memorization often conflict with the principles of student-centered learning and active engagement (Nguyen & Pham, 2020). Although reform initiatives have been launched at the national level, including the promotion of competency-based curricula and teaching quality enhancement programs, their success varies widely depending on local conditions.

Institutional resistance also plays a role. According to Brown & Carasso (2020), universities often operate within bureaucratic and hierarchical systems that prioritize research output over teaching innovation, creating a misalignment of incentives. In such environments, faculty may lack the motivation or resources to adopt new methods, especially when reforms are introduced in a top-down manner without sufficient consultation, training, or follow-up support.

Moreover, challenges related to infrastructure, such as large class sizes, limited access to digital tools, and inadequate physical learning spaces, can severely constrain the implementation of methods like flipped classrooms or PBL. Faculty development is crucial in addressing these barriers, yet programs are often fragmented, underfunded, or poorly integrated with institutional priorities (Kennedy, 2016).

In summary, while innovative teaching practices hold significant potential for transforming higher education, their effectiveness and sustainability depend on a complex interplay of theoretical understanding, institutional support, faculty commitment, and cultural adaptation. A one-size-fits-all model is unlikely to succeed; instead, innovation must be context-sensitive and theory-informed to

truly enhance teaching and learning outcomes in diverse educational environments.

5. Bridging Theory and Practice

5.1 How Theory Informs Innovative Pedagogical Decisions

Educational theories such as Rational Choice Theory (RCT), Social Exchange Theory (SET), and principles of active learning are not merely abstract models; they offer valuable conceptual tools for understanding why certain teaching strategies succeed or fail. For instance, RCT provides insights into how educators weigh the perceived benefits and costs of adopting new teaching methods (Lovett, 2006; Nguyen & Pham, 2020). When innovation aligns with individual goals such as professional advancement or improved student engagement faculty are more likely to invest in pedagogical change.

Similarly, Social Exchange Theory (Blau, 1964; Cook & Emerson, 1978) illuminates the role of trust, reciprocity, and institutional support in shaping teaching behavior. Faculty members who feel valued, supported, and recognized by their institutions are more inclined to take risks and experiment with new methods. These theoretical insights can inform institutional policies: for example, by designing reward systems that acknowledge pedagogical innovation or fostering a culture of collaboration and peer learning among educators.

Moreover, theories of learning such as constructivism and social interactionism underlie many active learning practices. Techniques like flipped classrooms, case-based learning, and inquiry-based projects reflect assumptions about how students best acquire and retain knowledge not through passive listening, but through engagement, dialogue, and discovery (Bonwell & Eison, 1991). By grounding pedagogical decisions in theory, educators can move beyond trial-and-error approaches and instead adopt evidence-informed strategies that are more likely to yield meaningful learning outcomes.

In essence, theory serves as both a diagnostic and design tool: it helps educators understand the current limitations of traditional practices and guides the development of more effective alternatives.

5.2 Importance of Theoretical Grounding in Faculty Training Programs

Despite the clear value of theoretical knowledge, faculty development programs often focus on technical or procedural training how to use a learning management system, how to create digital content without addressing the "why" behind pedagogical change. This omission weakens the impact of training initiatives and can result in fragmented or short-lived reforms.

Integrating theoretical grounding into faculty training offers multiple benefits. First, it enhances conceptual clarity, helping educators understand the purposes and mechanisms of various teaching strategies. This understanding allows them to adapt methods appropriately rather than follow rigid templates. For example, knowing the theoretical basis of problem-based learning enables instructors to

design authentic problems that align with cognitive learning goals and promote student agency.

Second, a theoretical foundation encourages reflective practice the ability of faculty to critically examine their own teaching assumptions and to adjust based on evidence and experience. As Kennedy (2016) points out, professional development that fosters reflective thinking is more likely to lead to sustained instructional improvement. Theories such as SET also invite educators to reflect on the relational dynamics in their classrooms, promoting empathy and a more learner-centered mindset.

Third, theoretical knowledge fosters institutional coherence. When faculty across departments are trained with a shared theoretical vocabulary, it becomes easier to align teaching practices with broader educational goals. This alignment is especially important in contexts undergoing systemic reform, such as Vietnamese higher education, where inconsistencies in reform implementation have been attributed in part to a lack of shared pedagogical vision (Nguyen & Pham, 2020).

Finally, embedding theory into training empowers educators as agents of change, not just recipients of directives. When teachers understand the rationale behind reforms, they are more likely to become advocates for innovation, mentors for peers, and active contributors to a culture of continuous improvement.

6. Challenges and Opportunities

6.1 Resistance to Change Among Educators and Institutions

Resistance to change remains a central obstacle in educational reform. Faculty members may resist pedagogical innovation for a variety of reasons, including lack of time, insufficient institutional support, or skepticism about the effectiveness of new methods. As Kennedy (2016) notes, professional development initiatives often fail when they do not account for the lived realities of faculty work or when they are delivered in top-down formats that ignore educators' voices and agency.

From a theoretical perspective, rational choice theory helps explain such resistance. Faculty weigh the perceived benefits of adopting new teaching practices against potential costs such as increased workload, the risk of failure, or diminished research productivity (Nguyen & Pham, 2020; Lovett, 2006). If the perceived cost is higher than the benefit, rational educators may choose to maintain the status quo, even when they recognize the pedagogical value of innovation.

Institutionally, universities may also be resistant to change, especially when governance structures are rigid, reward systems favor research output over teaching quality, or when leadership lacks a clear strategic vision for educational reform (Brown & Carasso, 2020). In such cases, even motivated individuals may find themselves constrained by a lack of resources, support, or structural flexibility.

6.2 Cultural and Systemic Barriers

Educational innovation does not occur in a cultural vacuum. Values, traditions, and national histories all play a role in shaping how new teaching practices are received and implemented. In many contexts, including Vietnam, hierarchical norms, exam-oriented mindsets, and teacher-centered models have long dominated the educational landscape (Nguyen & Pham, 2020). These deeply rooted cultural patterns can make it difficult for student-centered or constructivist pedagogies to gain traction, even when formally encouraged by policy.

Social exchange theory helps explain how such cultural and systemic barriers operate at the relational level. For instance, in academic cultures where deference to authority is the norm, students may hesitate to participate in open discussion or challenge ideas, undermining the interactive foundations of active learning (Blau, 1964; Cook & Emerson, 1978). Similarly, faculty may avoid innovation not out of rejection of its pedagogical value, but due to fear of disrupting long-standing professional norms or alienating peers.

Systemic factors such as large class sizes, limited classroom infrastructure, outdated curricula, and a lack of institutional autonomy further exacerbate the problem. These constraints reduce the feasibility of implementing practices like flipped classrooms or problem-based learning. Without targeted investments and policy reforms that support both pedagogical change and cultural transformation, innovation is unlikely to be sustainable.

6.3 Opportunities for Long-Term Transformation in Higher Education

Despite these challenges, the potential for long-term transformation in higher education remains promising. One of the most significant opportunities lies in recognizing faculty development as a strategic priority rather than a peripheral activity. As Kennedy (2016) emphasizes, sustained and theoretically grounded professional development can foster deep, reflective teaching practices that align with both institutional goals and student needs.

Another opportunity is the integration of theory into practice ensuring that teaching innovations are not adopted blindly but are informed by clear conceptual frameworks. When educators understand the underlying mechanisms of learning and behavior, they are better positioned to adapt pedagogical strategies to diverse contexts and challenges. This approach promotes not only innovation but also adaptability, which is essential in rapidly evolving educational environments.

Furthermore, cultural transformation is possible through incremental change. While systemic overhauls may take years, smaller shifts such as building communities of practice, promoting peer mentoring, and recognizing innovative teaching in promotion criteria can foster a more supportive and progressive academic culture. These changes encourage faculty to experiment, collaborate, and engage more meaningfully with their teaching roles.

Finally, the post-pandemic context presents a unique inflection point. The global disruptions caused by COVID-19 forced many institutions to reconsider their pedagogical assumptions and invest in digital and hybrid teaching models. This moment of disruption, though challenging, has opened space for reimagining higher education an opportunity that institutions can harness to implement more flexible, student-centered, and theory-informed educational practices.

7. Conclusion

The transformation of higher education demands a deliberate and theory-informed approach to pedagogical reform, with faculty development serving as a cornerstone for sustainable change. Rational Choice Theory and Social Exchange Theory provide valuable frameworks for understanding the motivations and relational dynamics that shape faculty engagement with innovative teaching practices. Methods such as student-centered learning, flipped classrooms, and problem-based learning offer promising avenues for enhancing student engagement and critical thinking, yet their success hinges on institutional support, cultural adaptation, and adequate resources. In contexts like Vietnam, where traditional educational norms and systemic constraints pose significant challenges, reform efforts must be context-sensitive and strategically aligned with educators rational and social considerations. By embedding theoretical insights into faculty training, fostering reflective practice, and promoting collaborative academic cultures, institutions can bridge the gap between educational theory and classroom practice. The post-pandemic era presents a unique opportunity to reimagine higher education, leveraging disruptions to implement flexible, learner-centered, and evidence-informed pedagogies. Ultimately, the path to meaningful transformation lies in empowering educators as agents of change, supported by robust institutional frameworks that prioritize teaching excellence alongside research.

References

Aboluwodi, A. (2015). Imperative of teaching critical thinking in higher institutions in Nigeria. *Journal of Teaching and Teacher Education, 4*(1).

Andersson, T. (2016). Rationality in educational choice: A study on decision-making and risk-taking in academic settings. *DiVA Portal*. Retrieved from https://www.diva-portal.org/smash/record.jsf?pid=diva2%3A945136&dswid=3518

Blau, P. M. (1964). *Exchange and power in social life*. John Wiley & Sons Inc.

Bonwell, C. C., & Eison, J. A. (1991). *Active learning: Creating excitement in the classroom*. ASHE-ERIC Higher Education Reports.

Brown, R., & Carasso, H. (2020). *Higher education and the challenge of change: A critical review*. Oxford University Press.

Cook, K. S., & Emerson, R. M. (1978). Power, equity and commitment in exchange networks. *American Sociological Review, 43*(5), 721-739.

Dasgupta, S. (2011). Two faces of active learning. *Theoretical computer science*, *412*(19), 1767-1781. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2010.12.054

Herfeld, C. (2012). The potentials and limitations of rational choice theory: An interview with Gary Becker. *Erasmus Journal for Philosophy and Economics, 5*(1), 73-86.

Kennedy, M. M. (2016). How does professional development improve teaching? *Review of Educational Research, 86*(4), 945-980.

Knottnerus, J. D., & Guan, J. (1997). The works of Peter M. Blau: Analytical strategies, developments and assumptions. *Sociological Perspectives, 40*(1), 109-128.

Lovett, F. (2006). Rational choice theory and explanation. *Rationality and Society, 18*(2), 237-272.

Nguyen, V. H., & Pham, N. T. (2020). Innovation in Vietnamese higher education teaching methods – Approach from rational choice theory. *Universal Journal of Educational Research, 8*(12A), 7949-7956. https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2020.082583

O'Flaherty, J., & Phillips, C. (2015). The use of flipped classrooms in higher education: A scoping review. *The internet and higher education*, *25*, 85-95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2015.02.002

Porta, D. D., & Keating, M. (2008). *Approaches and methodologies in the social sciences*. Cambridge University Press.

Shanks, D. R., Tunney, R. J., & McCarthy, J. D. (2002). A re-examination of probability matching and rational choice. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making, 15*(3), 233-250.

Vanberg, V. J. (2004). The rationality postulate in economics: Its ambiguity, its deficiency and its evolutionary alternative. *Journal of Economic Methodology, 11*(1), 1-29.

Wallace, R. A., & Wolf, A. (1999). *Contemporary sociological theory: Expanding the classical tradition*. Prentice-Hall.

Yan, Z., Wang, T., Chen, Y., & Zhang, H. (2016). Knowledge sharing in online health communities: A social exchange theory perspective. *Information & management*, *53*(5), 643-653. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2016.02.001